Based on the threat of COVID-19 as reflected in the Proclamations of Emergency issued by both the Governor of the State of California, the San Luis Obispo County Emergency Services Director and the Tree Committee of the City of San Luis Obispo as well as the Governor’s Executive Order N-29-20 issued on March 17, 2020, relating to the convening of public meetings in response to the COVID-19 pandemic, the City of San Luis Obispo will be holding all public meetings via teleconference. There will be no physical location for the Public to view the meeting. Below are instructions on how to view the meeting remotely and how to leave public comment.

Additionally, members of the Tree Committee (TC) are allowed to attend the meeting via teleconference and to participate in the meeting to the same extent as if they were present.

Using the most rapid means of communication available at this time, members of the public are encouraged to participate in Council meetings in the following ways:

1. **Remote Viewing** - Members of the public who wish to watch the meeting may do so by viewing the webinar:
   - View the Webinar:
     - Registration URL: [https://attendee.gotowebinar.com/register/9213109605571958287](https://attendee.gotowebinar.com/register/9213109605571958287)
     - Webinar ID: 411-196-555
     - Telephone Attendee: (914) 614-3221 - Audio Access Code: 363-914-557
     > Note: The City uses GotoWebinar to conduct virtual meetings. Please test your speakers and microphone settings prior to joining the webinar. If you experience audio issues, check out this [YouTube tutorial to troubleshoot audio connection issues](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=example_video_id).

2. **Public Comment** - The Tree Committee will still be accepting public comment for items within their purview. Public comment can be submitted in the following ways:
   - **Mail or Email Public Comment**
     - Received **by 3:00 PM on the day of meeting** - Can be submitted via email to [advisorybodies@slocity.org](mailto:advisorybodies@slocity.org) or U.S. Mail to City Clerk at 990 Palm St. San Luis Obispo, CA 93401
     - Emails sent **after 3:00 PM**. Can be submitted via email to [advisorybodies@slocity.org](mailto:advisorybodies@slocity.org) and will be archived/distributed to members of the Advisory Body the day after the meeting. Emails will not be read aloud during the meeting.
   - **Verbal Public Comment**
     - Received **by 3:00 PM on the day of the meeting** - Call (805) 781-7164; state and spell your name, the agenda item number you are calling about and leave your comment. The verbal comments must be limited to 3 minutes. All voicemails will be forwarded to the Advisory Body Members and saved as Agenda Correspondence. Voicemails will not be played during the meeting.
     - **During the meeting** – Members of the public who wish to provide public comment can join the webinar (instructions above). Once you have joined the webinar, please put your name and Item # in the questions box. Your mic will be unmuted once Public Comment is called for the Item and you will have 3 minutes to speak.

All comments submitted will be placed into the administrative record of the meeting.
5:30 PM  AMENDED  TELECONFERENCE
SPECIAL MEETING  Broadcasted via Webinar

*Agenda amended to add Item #3 as shown in italics below.

CALL TO ORDER  Chair Alan Bate

ROLL CALL:  Committee Members Scott Loosley, Elizabeth Lucas, Sean O’Brien, Allen Root, Rodney Thurman, Vice Chair Jane Worthy, Chair Alan Bate

CONSIDERATION OF MINUTES

1. Minutes of the Tree Committee meeting of September 28, 2020

PUBLIC COMMENT:  At this time, people may address the Committee about items not on the agenda. Comments are limited to three minutes per person. Items raised at this time are generally referred to staff and, if action by the Committee is necessary, may be scheduled for a future meeting.

TREE REMOVAL APPLICATIONS

2. 529 Hathway Ave.

BUSINESS ITEMS

3. New Business: Review of a proposed removal of 56 onsite trees and replanting plan of 342 trees (including 28 palms) as part of a residential/mixed-use project located at 3580 / 3584 Bullock Lane that includes 192 residential units, 8 of which would be residential live-work units with commercial office space.

4. New Business: Consider modifications to the Tree Removal Application

5. Old Business: Continued discussion to set the Tree Committee Goals for 2021-2023

COMMENT AND DISCUSSION

6. Arborists Report: Update on the status of the City Arborist position
ADJOURNMENT

The next rescheduled Special Meeting of the Tree Committee is scheduled for Monday, December 7, 2020 at 5:30 p.m. via teleconference.

The City of San Luis Obispo wishes to make all of its public meetings accessible to the public. Upon request, this agenda will be made available in appropriate alternative formats to persons with disabilities. Any person with a disability who requires a modification or accommodation in order to participate in a meeting should direct such request to the City Corp Yard Office at (805) 781-7220 at least 48 hours before the meeting, if possible. Telecommunications Device for the Deaf (805) 781-7410.

Meeting audio recordings can be found at the following web address: https://opengov.slocity.org/WebLink/Browse.aspx?id=61087&dbid=0&repo=CityClerk
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Monday, September 28, 2020
Special Meeting of the Tree Committee

CALL TO ORDER

A Special Meeting of the San Luis Obispo Tree Committee was called to order on Monday, September 28, 2020 at 5:30 p.m. via teleconference by Chair Allen Bate.

ROLL CALL

Present: Committee Members Elizabeth Lucas, Rodney Thurman, Vice Chair Jane Worthy, and Chair Alan Bate

Absent: Committee Members Scott Loosley, Sean O’Brien, and Allen Root

Staff: Ron Combs, City Arborist and Megan Wilbanks, Deputy City Clerk

APPROVAL OF MINUTES


   ACTION: UPON MOTION OF COMMITTEE MEMBER THURMAN, SECONDED BY COMMITTEE MEMBER LUCAS, CARRIED 4-0-3 (Members Loosley, O’Brien, and Root absent), the Tree Committee approved the Minutes of August 25, 2020.

PUBLIC COMMENT ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS

Will Powers

--End of Public Comment--

TREE REMOVAL APPLICATIONS

2. 675 Stoneridge Dr.

   City Arborist Combs provided a presentation and responded to Committee inquiries.

   The applicant, Thor Krichevsky, provided a brief overview of the tree removal project.

   Public Comment:
   None

   --End of Public Comment—

   ACTION: UPON MOTION OF VICE CHAIR WORTHY, SECONDED BY CHAIR BATE, CARRIED 4-0-3 (Members Loosley, O’Brien, and Root absent), to deny the Tree Removal Application based on insufficient findings to support removal.
3. **4421 Brookpine (HOA Pathway Trees)**

   City Arborist Combs provided a presentation and responded to Committee inquiries.

   The applicant, Casey Guenther and Christine Noffz with Islay Hill HOA, provided a brief overview of the tree removal project.

   **Public Comment:**
   None

   --*End of Public Comment*—

   **ACTION:** UPON MOTION OF COMMITTEE MEMBER THURMAN, SECONDED BY COMMITTEE MEMBER LUCAS, CARRIED 4-0-3 (Members Loosley, O’Brien, and Root absent), to allow removal of four Cottonwood trees and require replacement at a 1:1 ratio with 15-gal trees or greater.

4. **529 Hathway Ave.**

   City Arborist Combs provided a presentation and responded to Committee inquiries.

   The applicant, Alvin White, and Ron Rinell with Bunyon Bros provided a brief overview of the tree removal project.

   **Public Comment:**
   None

   --*End of Public Comment*—

   **ACTION:** UPON MOTION OF CHAIR BATE, SECONDED BY COMMITTEE MEMBER THURMAN, CARRIED 4-0-3 (Members Loosley, O’Brien, and Root absent), to continue review of this item to the October 26, 2020 Tree Committee meeting.

5. **1348 Alder St.**

   City Arborist Combs provided a presentation and responded to Committee inquiries.

   The applicant, Lisa Ajanel, provided a brief overview of the tree removal project.

   **Public Comment:**
   Bill Nevins
   Marcia Nevins

   --*End of Public Comment*—

   **ACTION:** UPON MOTION OF COMMITTEE MEMBER LUCAS, SECONDED BY COMMITTEE MEMBER THURMAN, CARRIED 3-1-3 (Vice Chair Worthy dissenting, Members Loosley, O’Brien, and Root absent), to approve the Tree Removal Application and require that the applicant plant a 24-inch box replacement tree.
6. **880 Leff St.**

City Arborist Combs provided a presentation and responded to Committee inquiries.

The applicant’s representative, Ron Rinell with Bunyon Bros, provided a brief overview of the tree removal project.

**Public Comment:**
None

---End of Public Comment---

**ACTION:** UPON MOTION OF COMMITTEE MEMBER LUCAS, SECONDED BY CHAIR BATE, CARRIED 3-1-3 (Member Thurman dissenting, Members Loosley, O’Brien, and Root absent), to deny the Tree Removal Application based on insufficient findings to support removing the tree.

7. **1159 Islay St.**

City Arborist Combs provided a presentation and responded to Committee inquiries.

The applicant, Chris Knauer, provided a brief overview of the tree removal project.

**Public Comment:**
None

---End of Public Comment---

**ACTION:** UPON MOTION OF VICE CHAIR WORTHY, SECONDED BY COMMITTEE MEMBER LUCAS, CARRIED 4-0-3 (Members Loosley, O’Brien, and Root absent), to deny the Tree Removal Application based on insufficient findings to support removing the tree.

**BUSINESS ITEMS**

8. **New Business:** Tree removals at a Mixed-Use project located at 830 Orcutt Road, ARCH-0764-2019.

City Arborist Combs provided a presentation and responded to Committee inquiries. Associate Planner Kyle Bell presented the staff report and PowerPoint presentation and responded to Committee inquiries.

The applicant, Bryan Ridley, provided a brief overview of the tree removal project.

**Public Comment:**
None

---End of Public Comment---
ACTION: UPON MOTION OF COMMITTEE MEMBER THURMAN, SECONDED BY CHAIR BATE, CARRIED 4-0-3 (Vice Chair Worthy abstaining, Members Loosley, O’Brien, and Root absent), the Tree Committee is in support of the project with the following recommendations to the Planning Commission:

- Replace the street trees designated as Strawberry Madrones with Chinese Pistache
- Along the creek, incorporate two additional Coast Live Oaks, (all oaks in a 36-inch box), to bring the number of total replacement trees onsite to 21

9. New Business: Tree removals for a Residential Care Facility at 1691 Fredericks Street (ARCH-0073-2020)

City Arborist Combs provided a presentation and responded to Committee inquiries. Associate Planner Kyle Bell presented the staff report and PowerPoint presentation and responded to Committee inquiries.

The applicants, Tim Ronda and Scott Wright, provided a brief overview of the tree removal project.

Public Comment: None

--End of Public Comment—

ACTION: UPON MOTION OF COMMITTEE MEMBER THURMAN, SECONDED BY COMMITTEE MEMBER LUCAS, CARRIED 4-0-3 (Members Loosley, O’Brien, and Root absent) the Tree Committee is in support of the project with the following recommendations to the Planning Commission:

- Switch the proposed planting list for the parking lot trees from London Plane to Chinese Pistashe
- Consider changing the proposed planting list for the driveway trees from Chinese Pistache to Tristania or Crape Myrtle
- Move the London Planes to a different part of the site plan to allow a larger planting area


ACTION: By consensus, the Committee moved to continue this item to the next Special Tree Committee meeting on October 26, 2020.
COMMENT AND DISCUSSION

11. **Arborist Report**: Tree Committee Goal Setting discussion

- Budget for maintenance of trees
- Budget for a Development Review Arborist staff member
- Update the tree inventory or Urban Forest Master Plan
- Disseminating service request tasks to contracted Arborists and other support staff

**ACTION**: By consensus, the Committee moved to continue this item to the next Special Tree Committee meeting on October 26, 2020.

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 8:55 p.m. The next Special Meeting of the Tree Committee is scheduled for Monday, October 26, 2020 at 5:30 p.m. via teleconference.

APPROVED BY THE TREE COMMITTEE: XX/XX/2020
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TREE REMOVAL APPLICATION
RESIDENTIAL, COMMERCIAL, DEVELOPMENT

Follow Non-Construction Tree Removal Criteria and Process here (SLOMC 12.24.090.E)
Follow Construction Tree Removal Criteria and Process here (SLOMC 12.24.090.F)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TREE ADDRESS:</th>
<th>X STREET:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Owner: Alvin White</td>
<td>Applicant: Same</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address: 587 Hathaway Ave</td>
<td>Address:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City: Lompoc</td>
<td>Zip: 93436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone: 805-543-7356</td>
<td>Email: <a href="mailto:robertarwine@yahoo.com">robertarwine@yahoo.com</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of Trees applying to remove? **ONE** Dog in yard? **YES** 
Is this removal a City Tree? (Usually planted between street & sidewalk) **YES** 
Is this property governed by a Homeowners Association (HOA)? **YES** 
Non-development reason to remove tree(s): Roots growing under house

Tree Removal Decisions as outlined in Section 12.24.090 of the City’s Municipal Code

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REMOVAL APPLICATION TYPE</th>
<th>DECISION MAKER</th>
<th>APPEAL BODY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Imminent Hazard to Life or Property Section 12.24.090(E)(1)(a)</td>
<td>City Arborist</td>
<td>No Appeal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree Health and Hazard Mitigation Section 12.24.090(E)</td>
<td>City Arborist</td>
<td>Tree Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor Ministerial Development Permit (removal for residential or accessory construction on an R-1 or R-2 lot) Section 12.24.090(F)(1)</td>
<td>City Arborist</td>
<td>Community Development Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criteria for Discretionary Permits Construction Tree Removal Section 12.24.090(F)(3)</td>
<td>Community Development Director</td>
<td>Planning Commission / City Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Development / Tentative Tract Map / Conditional Use Permit Section 12.24.090(F)(4)</td>
<td>Community Development Director</td>
<td>City Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continue to page 2
ALL BOXES MUST BE CHECKED TO PROCESS TREE REMOVAL APPLICATION:

☐ Tree(s) must be banded with ribbon or duct tape
☐ Site Plan Drawing or Photo of Tree site with Tree ID Number(s)
☐ Supporting documentation, (repair receipts, etc.)
☐ Photo Log showing damage or conditions for removal
☐ Replanting Plan (Consistent with 12.24.090(J))

Notes:

1 Please include a photo log that clearly shows the trees requested for removal. Tree(s) must be uniquely identified by a number and a ribbon or an identifier wrapped around the truck in the photo and prior to inspection.

2 Please include a replanting plan in accordance with Section 12.24.090(J) of the City's Municipal Code. A minimum of one new tree shall be planted for each tree authorized to be removed on the same property (on site) or two new trees shall be planted for each tree authorized to be removed when planted on a different property or within the public right-of-way (off site).

Please include a check for $157.17 along with completed application & documentation. Tree Removal applications may be submitted in person at 25 Prado Road x Elks Lane at the City’s Corporation Yard in SLO or mailed to:

Public Works Urban Forest Services
25 Prado Road
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401.

This tree removal application must be signed by the property owner or an Authorized Agent. I declare under penalty of perjury that all the facts stated within this tree removal application are true and correct.

Property Owner’s Signature: Alan Selleite Date: 8/12/2020
Applicant’s Signature: Alan Selleite Date: 8/12/2020

$157.17 Make check payable to the City of San Luis Obispo Check # 7939

For Office Use Only
Staff Notes: 1- American Sweet Gum over 20'

Liquid amber styaciflua
Photos of driveway & sidewalk 529 Hathway Ave for Tree Committee review.
TREE EVALUATION: 09/14/20
Alvin White
529 Hathway
San Luis Obispo, CA 93405

RE: 24” Diameter Liquid Amber NE Property Corner [Front Yard]

CC:
Ron Combs/ San Luis Obispo Tree Committee
City Arborist
City of San Luis Obispo Public Works
25 Prado Rd.
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

Christine Dietrick
City Attorney

EVALUATION:
Specimen being reviewed for removal is a ~25’ tall Liquid Amber with a diameter at shoulder height of ~24”. Tree is in suitable health and located approximately 5’ from the city sidewalk and 5’ from the property line of 523 Hathway to the North and the subject property of 529 Hathway.

OBSERVATIONS & INTERVIEWS:
The owner of 523 Hathway [Neighboring property to North] has replaced the driveway concrete at 523 Hathway in the last 7-8 years. This driveway reportedly failed and heaved due to tree roots from specimen tree under evaluation. According to the owner it created at hazardous condition at the driveway/ sidewalk interface where the driveway cracked and heaved over 4” above the city sidewalk. This is consistent with what is currently being observed onsite. New cracking and heaving has occurred and Public Works has ground the sidewalk in an attempt to control sidewalk buckling in the last 12 months. This Liquid Amber has a shallow and pervasive root system that is clearly causing damage to the neighboring property and the public right of way.

CONCLUSION:
- The Liquid Amber at 529 Hathway has caused considerable damage and expense to the neighboring property and continues to do so.
- The neighboring property 523 Hathway made an attempt to repair and replace the driveway without the removal of the Liquid Amber.
- In a relatively short period of time the Liquid Amber as lifted the neighboring driveway again; cracks are evident and public sidewalks are being damaged.
- 529 Hathway has considerable liability for damage to the neighboring property.
- The tree has created an identified and worsening hazard for the public using the sidewalk and this condition will continue to degrade.

RECOMMENDATION:
529 Hathway should have the Liquid Amber removed before additional damage to the neighboring property and public property occurs.

529 Hathway should replace the tree "1 for 1" with a suitable street tree consistent with City of San Luis Standards away from the neighbor's driveway and the city sidewalk. 15 gallon or 24" box is recommended.

In the event of a denial for removal, the liability for damage to the neighboring property and the degrading condition of the sidewalk should transfer from 529 Hathway to the City of San Luis Obispo who will indemnify and correct any future damage to public and private property.

Submitted

Stalwork, Inc.
Certified Arborist WE-2141A

ATTACHMENTS:
Photos.
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SUBJECT: Review of a proposed removal of 56 onsite trees and replanting plan of 342 trees (including 28 palms) as part of a residential/mixed-use project located at 3580/3584 Bullock Lane that includes 192 residential units, 8 of which would be residential live-work units with commercial office space.

PROJECT ADDRESS: 3580/3584 Bullock Lane

BY: John Rickenbach, Contract Planner (Rachel Cohen, Associate Planner)
Phone: (805) 781-7574
e-mail: rcohen@slocity.org

FROM: Ron Combs, City Arborist

RECOMMENDATION: Recommend the Planning Commission find the proposed tree removal and replanting plan as part of the residential/mixed use project at 3580/3584 Bullock Lane consistent with the City’s Tree Regulations.

SITE DATA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Applicant</th>
<th>Bullock Ranch, LLC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Representative</td>
<td>Barry Ephraim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zoning</td>
<td>Medium-High Density Residential (R-3-SP); Mixed Use/Neighborhood Commercial Conservation Open Space (NC-SP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Plan</td>
<td>Medium High Density Residential (within Orcutt Area Specific Plan)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Area</td>
<td>10.34 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Status</td>
<td>Mitigated Negative Declaration is being prepared</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.0 COMMITTEE PURVIEW

The Tree Committee’s role is to review the project and provide a recommendation to the Planning Commission regarding consistency with the policies and standards set forth in San Luis Obispo Municipal Code (SLOMC) §12.24 (Tree Regulations).

2.0 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The proposed project is a Vesting Tentative Tract Map (VTTM 3136) and the development of 192 residential units, including 8 live-work units with commercial/office space (mixed-use), 433 on-site parking spaces, and other residential community amenities on a 10.34-acre site located within the 231-acre Orcutt Area Specific Plan (OASP) area (see Attachment 1, Project site plan). The OASP was
approved in 2009, and a Final EIR for the OASP, which anticipates the development currently proposed, was certified at that time. The project site is within a portion of the OASP designated as Medium-High Density Residential (R-3) uses and Mixed-Use/Neighborhood Commercial uses.

Proposed residential development would consist of two housing types: 88 bungalows/carriages on the east side of the project site in San Luis Obispo Mission and Adobe Ranch architectural styles, and 96 townhouse units along the west side of the project site in a San Luis Obispo Mission architectural style and Ranch architectural style. Residential units would range in size from 748 to 2,265 square feet, depending on the type of unit.

Existing development on the project site includes two vacant single-family residences, a barn, several accessory structures, and seatrain containers. Vegetation on the property consists of non-native grassland, heavily disturbed roadside areas, and residential landscaping. The trees on site are comprised of 18 different species, both native and non-native trees. Attachment 2, Tree Evaluation, shows the location of existing trees onsite.

Figure 1: Existing trees, highlighted in green, that are located within the Bullock Lane right of way.

The applicant is proposing to remove all 56\(^1\) trees on site because 10 of the trees are located within the designated right of way area required for the extension of Bullock Lane (see Figure 1) and the other 46 trees would not survive the needed site development requirements, such as grading, fill, plotting, and specific orientation of the buildings and site layout to minimize energy use.

\(^1\) Not all 56 trees are alive. Please refer to Attachment 2 for a description of the health of each tree.
Table 1: List of Existing Trees on the Site

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tree Type</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>DBH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chinese Elm</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.0 - 21.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coast Live Oak</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.4 - 13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cotoneaster</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eucalyptus</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.5 - 9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English Walnut</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fig</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incense Cedar</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loquat</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>London Plane Tree</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mulberry</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.5 - 13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olive</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pine Cultivar</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9.1 - 19.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prunus Cultivar</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.0 - 7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pear</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.5 - 4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plum</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.0 - 13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peruvian Pepper</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.5 - 6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silk Oak</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.7 - 16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winged Elm</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.0 - 9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>56</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.0 TREE REGULATIONS

SLOMC Chapter 12.24: Tree Regulations establishes policies, regulations, and specifications necessary to govern installation, maintenance, removal, and preservation of trees to beautify the city; to purify the air; to provide shade and wind protection; to add environmental and economic value; and to preserve trees with historic or unusual value.

3.1 Tree Removal (§12.24.090)

Criteria for Construction Related Tree Removal Recommendations. SLOMC Section 12.24.090 subsection G provides criteria for construction-related tree removal recommendations. The criteria are provided below with a description of how the proposed project meets the criteria.

- **Size of Tree.** The size of the trees proposed for removal range from 1.5 inches diameter at breast height (DBH) to 21.3 inches DBH. 29 of the trees identified for removal are smaller than 5 inches DBH.

- **Location of Trees on Private Property.** All trees proposed for removal are located on private property. The trees are mostly clustered near existing structures in the northwestern corner of the property, with a few associated with existing development in the southwestern corner of the property. Some trees may be seen from the intersection of Bullock Lane and Tiburon Place.
Species of Tree. The species of trees proposed for removal vary and primarily include non-native, ornamental species. The removal includes two coast live oaks, the only native tree species currently growing onsite. For a complete list of tree species, please see Table 1 above.

Forestry Best Practice. The survey provided by the arborist shows that 19 of the trees on site are dead or in poor health from insects and/or disease (see Attachment 2). The project would increase total quantity of trees, as well as increase the number of native trees planted on the project site (see Table 2 and Attachment 3).

Public Right-of-Way Obstruction or Displacement. No street trees are proposed for removal. The project includes the planting of 11 new street trees.

Compliance Regarding Compensatory Plantings. The applicant is proposing to provide compensatory plantings in excess of the required minimum compensatory plantings. See compensatory planting discussion below.

Heritage Trees. None of the trees proposed for removal have been designated Heritage trees.

Compensatory Tree Planting. Per the Tree Regulations, tree removal shall be compensated by planting a minimum of one new tree for each tree removed onsite (1:1 replanting ratio). There are 56 trees proposed for removal onsite and the applicant is proposing to replant 314 trees onsite (not including 28 palms), a replanting ratio of 5.6:1. Of the 314 replanted non-palm trees, 17 would be native species (California sycamore). These would be planted at the ends of certain interior roads along the northern and southern boundaries of the site, with a few planted within the interior of the project. The applicant is proposing to plant 48-inch box size sycamores. Other non-native planted tree species would vary from 24 to 36-inch box size.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Latin Name</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>California sycamore</td>
<td><em>Platanus racemosa</em></td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacaranda</td>
<td><em>Jacaranda mimosifolia</em></td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italian Cypress</td>
<td><em>Cupressus sempervirens</em></td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italian Cypress</td>
<td><em>Cupressus sempervirens</em></td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacaranda</td>
<td><em>Jacaranda mimosifolia</em></td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crape Myrtle</td>
<td><em>Lagerstroemia</em> hybrids ‘Tuskegee’</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnolia</td>
<td><em>Magnolia grandiflora</em> ‘Little Gem’</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Sycamore</td>
<td><em>Platanus racemosa</em></td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fern Pine</td>
<td><em>Podocarpus gracilior</em></td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bird-of-Paradise</td>
<td><em>Stralitzia reginae</em></td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: List of Trees to be Planted on the Site
(based on the preliminary Landscape Plan)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Latin Name</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pink Trumpet Tree</td>
<td><em>Tabebuia ipe</em></td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brisbane box</td>
<td><em>Tristania conferta</em></td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sawleaf zelkova</td>
<td><em>Zelkova serrata</em> ‘Halka’</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Palm</td>
<td><em>Phoenix dactylifera</em></td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hybrid fan palm</td>
<td><em>Washingtonia robusta hybrida</em></td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Street trees (species TBD)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>342</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Street Tree Species. The applicant has not selected a street tree species for along Ranch House Road. However, the Orcutt Area Specific Plan (OASP) (http://www.slocity.org/home/showdocument?id=4262) provides a list of street trees specifically for Ranch House Road. These tree species include: *Calodendrum capense* (Cape Chestnut), *Geijera parviflora* (Australian Willow), *Pistacia chinensis* (Chinese Pistache), *Quercus agrifolia* (Coast live oak), *Quercus suber* (Cork oak), and *Stenocarpus sinuatus* (Firewheel Tree). The project will be required to plant from this list. The Tree Committee may provide direction to the Planning Commission and the applicant on any preferred species from this list.

Attachment 3 shows the preliminary landscape plan and proposed plant palette for the project, including the types of trees and shrubs to be planted, with general locations and concepts.

4.0 ATTACHMENTS

1. Project Site Plan
2. Tree Evaluation
3. Preliminary Landscape Plan and Plant Palette
Item 3
Tree Evaluation for 3580 and 3584 Bullock Lane, San Luis Obispo, San Luis Obispo County, California
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# Bullock Ranch Tree Survey List of Existing Trees

**Trees Identified by Trunk Size, Location, Native, and Health**

**From Largest Trunk Size to Smallest**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Diameter</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>ROW</th>
<th>Invasive</th>
<th>Native</th>
<th>Health</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CE</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>Chinese Elm</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>PC</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>Pine</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>CE</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>Chinese Elm</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>SO</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>Silk Oak</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>CE</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>Chinese Elm</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>CL</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>Coast Live Oak</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>PL</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>Plum</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>MU</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Mulberry</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>CE</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>Chinese Elm</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>SO</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>Silk Oak</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Diameter</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>ROW</th>
<th>Invasive</th>
<th>Native</th>
<th>Health</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>CE</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>Chinese Elm</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>EU</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>Eucaluptus</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>PC</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>Pine</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>CE</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>Chinese Elm</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>PC</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>Pine</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>WE</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Winged Elm</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>CL</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>Coast Live Oak</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>PC</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>Prunus Cultivar</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>Peruvian Pepper</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>PC</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Prunus Cultivar</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>SO</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>Silk Oak</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>IC</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>Incense Cedar</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Dead</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>EW</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>English Walnut</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>SO</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>Silk Oak</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>FG</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>Fig</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>PL</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>Plum</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Dead</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>WE</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Winged Elm</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Excellent Condition** - Only minor leaf damage from insects or disease may be present. Overall color and terminal shoot growth appear exceptional for the species. The canopy appears full with new growth throughout the canopy to the branch tips.

**Good Condition** - Leaf damage from insects or disease is present. Overall color and terminal shoot growth appear normal for the species. The canopy foliage is full.

**Fair Condition** - Leaf damage from insects or disease is present and may be severe. Overall color and terminal shoot growth may appear abnormal for the species. Canopy foliage may be thinner than normal.

**Poor Condition** - Leaf damage from insects or disease is present and may be severe. Overall color or shoot growth is abnormal for the species. Canopy foliage is thin.

**Dead Condition** - The tree is dead or in severe decline with very little chance of recovery. Canopy foliage is sparse, terminal branch tips are dead.

**Gone** - Tree is no longer present.
JOHN MOULE, B.S., SENIOR BIOLOGIST

Mr. Moule is a biologist and botanist with experience in California and Alaska performing a variety of biological and environmental tasks, including preparing FESA Section 7 biological assessments, biological resources technical reports, botanical inventory reports, CEQA documents, Caltrans natural environment studies, mitigation and monitoring plans, monitoring reports, CDFW incidental take permits and habitat assessments.

Mr. Moule has experience in conducting protocol-level surveys for numerous projects throughout California. These surveys include special-status plant surveys, floristic botanical surveys, biological resource inventories, focused nesting bird surveys, raptor surveys, acoustic and emergent bat surveys, forest carnivore camera and track plate surveys, amphibian and reptile surveys, sensitive habitat mapping, tree species inventories, marine fisheries sampling, and stream habitat mapping for anadromous fish species. He is proficient in utilizing GPS and GIS for spatial analysis, technical document mapping, and in-field navigation.

SELECTED PROJECT EXPERIENCE (* denotes project experience prior to SWCA)

**Biologist**

*Caltrans District 5 Staff Augmentation; Santa Cruz, Monterey, San Benito, San Luis Obispo, and Santa Barbara Counties, California; Caltrans.* SWCA provided staff to serve within the District 5 environmental planning office as a consultant for transportation projects involving biological resources. Role: Associate Biologist / Environmental Planner. Acted as lead project biologist for several projects, conducted floristic botanical surveys, surveys for threatened and endangered species, drafted biological scoping reports, FESA Section 7 biological assessments, Caltrans natural environment studies, CDFW incidental take permits, and GIS mapping for environmental reporting at the planning level. Employer: SWCA.

*Wildlife Biologist and Botanist; California; Contractor for the U.S. Forest Service and Bureau of Land Management.* Mr. Moule was half owner and half operator of a business specializing in natural resource consulting and contract field survey implementation for multiple species. He specialized in researching contracting opportunities in natural resource conservation, preparing proposals, plans, conducting field surveys, managing contracts to completion and writing resource reports. Role: *Wildlife Biologist / Botanist.* Completed contracts included bald eagle monitoring, carnivore surveys, amphibian and reptile surveys, goshawk studies, spotted owl surveys, and botanical surveys. Owner/Employer: Arroyo Chico Resources.

*Wildlife Surveys; Oroville, California; Plumas National Forest, Feather River Ranger District.* Mr. Moule conducted a wide variety of wildlife surveys on the Feather River Ranger District. Role: Biological Technician (Wildlife) and Contract Inspector. Surveys included forest carnivore, spotted owl, northern goshawk, great grey owl, willow flycatcher, peregrine falcon, bald eagle, and multiple herpetile species. Employer: U.S. Forest Service.

**San Lorenzo River Source Control Project Biological Resources Services; Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz County, California; Caltrans, District 5.** This is a retaining wall project on State Route 9 in Henry Cowell Redwoods State Park. Role: Associate Biologist / Environmental Planner. Conducted surveys for the federally threatened marbled murrelet and other special status species, conducted FESA section 7 consultation for marbled murrelet critical habitat with the USFWS, drafted the natural environment study and biological assessment, GIS mapping, and contract specifications. Employer: SWCA.

**Pismo Creek Scour Repair Project Biological Resources Services; Pismo Beach, San Luis Obispo County, California; Caltrans, District 5.** This is a bridge repair project on State Route 101 over Pismo Creek. Role: Associate Biologist / Environmental Planner. Conducted surveys for special status species, conducted FESA section 7 consultation with the NMFS for south-central California coast steelhead and with the USFWS for tidewater goby and california...
red-legged frog (CRLF), completed the Caltrans natural environment study, GIS mapping, and contract specifications. Employer: SWCA.

Refugio Creek Bridges Replacement Project Biological Resources Services; Refugio State Beach, Santa Barbara County, California; Caltrans, District 5. This is a bridge replacement project on State Route 101 over Refugio Creek. Role: Associate Biologist / Environmental Planner. Conducted surveys special status species, floristic botanical surveys, Caltrans natural environment study, and GIS habitat mapping. Employer: SWCA.

Los Osos Wastewater Project Biological Services; Los Osos, San Luis Obispo County, California; County of San Luis Obispo Department of Public Works. SWCA conducted biological monitoring and Morro shoulderband snail relocation during construction in the community of Los Osos. Role: Biologist. Conducted snail surveys and relocation. Employer: SWCA.

Bello Bridge Replacement Environmental Services; Pismo Beach, San Luis Obispo County, California; Quincy Engineering, City of Pismo Beach. SWCA is providing environmental services, including preparation of all CEQA/NEPA documentation, technical studies, and permitting, for the replacement of the Bello Street bridge over Pismo Creek in the city of Pismo Beach. Role: Biologist. Drafted the Caltrans natural environment study. Employer: SWCA.

El Camino Real Bridge Replacement Environmental Services; San Luis Obispo County, California; Quincy Engineering, County of San Luis Obispo. SWCA is providing environmental services, including preparation of all CEQA/NEPA documentation, technical studies, and permitting, for the replacement of the El Camino Real bridge over Santa Margarita Creek in Atascadero. Role: Biologist. Drafted the CRLF habitat assessment and assisted with NES preparation. Employer: SWCA.

*Fisheries Surveys; Eureka, California; California Department of Fish and Game. Mr. Moule conducted fisheries surveys on the north coast of California (Humboldt and Del Norte counties). Role: Fisheries Technician. Surveys included field sampling for the Marine Recreational Fisheries Statistical Survey, field sampling for the Commercial Albacore Fisheries Survey (NMFS), observer for the Northern California Dungeness Crab Quality Test, identifying marine species, sampling length and weight data, recording fishing pressure and catch rates and, editing commercial fisheries logbooks. Employer: Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission

*Aquaculture; Esther Island, Alaska; Prince William Sound Aquaculture Corporation. Mr. Moule conducted microfiche scale sampling for age class studies, fork length, weight and quality sampling for run timing statistics, fertility sampling of eggs, BKD (Bacterial Kidney Disease) sampling of Chinook broodstock, spawning (taking eggs), bucking (adding sperm), saline activation of dry spawned eggs, rinsing and loading eggs into incubators, iodiphore and malachite green disinfection of eggs, flow and temperature calibration of incubators, assessment of female spawning ripeness, anesthetization of broodstock, and many other duties related to the propagation of several species of salmon. Role: Fisheries Technician II. Employer: Prince William Sound Aquaculture Corporation

*Fisheries Surveys; Freshwater, California; Humboldt Fish Action Council. Mr. Moule conducted redd and carcass stream surveys, electro-fishing for species composition and frequency, downstream migrant trapping for outmigration surveys. Role: Volunteer. Organization: Humboldt Fish Action Council.

Big Sur Capital Preventative Maintenance Project Biological Resources Services; Caltrans District 5; Big Sur to Carmel-by-the-Sea, Monterey County, California. A Caltrans highway maintenance project on State Route 1 along 35 miles of the Big Sur coast. Role: Associate Biologist / Environmental Planner. Drafted the natural environment study, conducted Smith’s blue butterfly (SBB) surveys, botanical surveys, and completed USFWS programmatic concurrence under the CRLF and SBB Programmatic Biological Opinions issued by USFWS. Employer: SWCA.

Cold Spring Bridge Maintenance Access Project Biological Resources Services; Caltrans District 5; Santa Barbara County, California. A Caltrans bridge maintenance project on State Route 154 near San Marcos Pass. Role: Associate Biologist / Environmental Planner. Drafted the natural environment study, botanical surveys, and completed the request for USFWS programmatic concurrence under the CRLF Programmatic Biological Opinions issued by USFWS. Employer: SWCA.

Monterey Highway 68 Traffic Management System Biological Resources Services; Caltrans District 5; Monterey, Monterey County, California. A Caltrans automated traffic management system project on State Route 68 between Monterey and the Salinas River. Role: Associate Biologist / Environmental Planner. Completed the natural environment study and CRLF habitat assessment. Employer: SWCA.

Nojoqui Creek Bridges Project Biological Resources Services; Caltrans District 5; Buellton, Santa Barbara County, California. A Caltrans bridge maintenance project for the U.S. Route 101 bridge over Nojoqui Creek near Buellton. Role: Associate Biologist / Environmental Planner. CRLF and botanical surveys. Employer: SWCA.
Route 246 Passing Lanes Project Biological Resources Services; Caltrans District 5; Lompoc, Santa Barbara County, California. A Caltrans highway widening project on State Route 246 near Lompoc. Role: Associate Biologist / Environmental Planner. Conducted protocol-level CRLF surveys (day and night), and botanical surveys. Employer: SWCA.

Botanist
*Utility Arborist Surveys; San Diego County, California; Western Environmental Consultants. Mr. Moule conducted tree and vegetation surveys for San Diego Gas & Electric. Role: Consulting Utility Arborist. Surveys included identifying trees and shrubs along power line routes and building a database of existing vegetation by species. Employer: Western Environmental Consultants.

Highway 46 Corridor Improvements Project – Wye Segment; Cholame, San Luis Obispo County, California; California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), District 5. This is a road improvement project at the Hwy 41/46 interchange. Role: Botanist. Conducted floristic botanical surveys and special status plant surveys, drafted the botanical inventory report and habitat mapping report, collected and mounted plant voucher specimens, and collected GPS data. Employer: SWCA.


*Plant Surveys; Lokern Ecological Reserve, Kern County, California; Endangered Species Recovery Program. Mr. Moule conducted transect and belt surveys for Kern mallow (Eremalche kernensis) under the guidance of Dr. Ellen Cypher PhD. Employer: California State University Stanislaus

Monterey to Marina Capital Preventive Maintenance Project; Monterey, Monterey County, California; California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), District 5. This is a road improvement project on State Route 1 between Monterey and Marina. Role: Associate Biologist/Environmental Planner. Conducted surveys for botanical species, drafted the natural environment study, GIS mapping, contract specifications, and conducted worker environmental training for Monterey spineflower. Employer: SWCA.

Monterey Highway 68 Traffic Management System; Monterey, Monterey County, California; Caltrans, District 5. This is an automated traffic management system project on State Route 68 between Monterey and the Salinas River. Role: Associate Biologist/Environmental Planner. Conducted surveys for botanical species, drafted the natural environment study, California tiger salamander and California red-legged frog habitat assessments, GIS mapping, and contract specifications. Employer: SWCA.

Gaviota to Goleta Safety Improvements Project; Goleta, Santa Barbara, California; Caltrans, District 5. This is a roadside safety project on State Route 101 between Gaviota State Park and the City of Goleta. Role: Associate Biologist/Environmental Planner. Conducted surveys for the endangered Gaviota tarplant and other special status species; conducted FESA section 7 consultation for Gaviota tarplant with the USFWS; drafted the natural environment study and biological assessment, GIS mapping, and contract specifications. Employer: SWCA.

Pitkins Curve Slide; Lucia, Monterey County, California; Caltrans. This is a rockshed, bridge, and retaining wall project on State Route 1 south of Big Sur. Role: Associate Biologist / Environmental Planner. Conducted permit compliance monitoring for the revegetation efforts, drafted the revegetation plan, surveys for vegetation species composition and cover. Employer: SWCA.
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# TREE REMOVAL APPLICATION

**RESIDENTIAL, COMMERCIAL, DEVELOPMENT**

Follow Non-Construction Tree Removal Criteria and Process here ([SLOMC 12.24.090.E](#))

Follow Construction Tree Removal Criteria and Process here ([SLOMC 12.24.090.F](#))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Owner:</th>
<th>Applicant:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Address:</td>
<td>Address:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City:</td>
<td>Zip:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone:</td>
<td>Phone:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email:</td>
<td>Email:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Address of Tree(s): Number of trees applying to remove? ____

Tree Species: 

Reason: 

Is this removal a City Tree? ____ YES ____ NO ____ UNKNOWN

Dog in yard? ____ YES ____ NO

Is this property governed by a Homeowners Association (HOA)? ____ YES ____ NO If YES, please provide HOA Board Approved Meeting minutes authorizing tree removal(s) with your tree removal application.

## ALL BOXES MUST BE CHECKED TO PROCESS TREE REMOVAL APPLICATION:

- Tree(s) must be banded with ribbon or duct tape
- Site Plan Drawing or Photo of Tree site with Tree ID (Tree #1, Tree #2, etc…)
- Supporting documentation, (repair receipts, etc..)
- Photo Log showing damage or conditions for removal
  
  1 Please include a photo log that clearly shows the trees requested for removal. Tree(s) must be uniquely identified by a number and a ribbon or an identifier wrapped around the truck in the photo and prior to inspection

- Replanting Plan (Consistent with 12.24.090.J)
  
  2 Please include a replanting plan in accordance with Section 12.24.090(J) of the City’s Municipal Code. A minimum of one new tree shall be planted for each tree authorized to be removed on the same property (on site) or two new trees shall be planted for each tree authorized to be removed when planted on a different property or within the public right-of-way (off site).

---

Notes:

---
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Tree Removal Decisions as outlined in Section 12.24.090 of the City’s Municipal Code

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REMOVAL APPLICATION TYPE</th>
<th>DECISION MAKER</th>
<th>APPEAL BODY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Imminent Hazard to Life or Property Section 12.24.090(E)(1)(a)</td>
<td>City Arborist</td>
<td>No Appeal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tree Health and Hazard Mitigation Section 12.24.090(E)</td>
<td>City Arborist</td>
<td>Tree Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor Ministerial Development Permit (removal for residential or accessory construction on an R-1 or R-2 lot) Section 12.24.090(F)(1)</td>
<td>City Arborist</td>
<td>Community Development Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criteria for Discretionary Permits Construction Tree Removal Section 12.24.090(F)(3)</td>
<td>Community Development Director</td>
<td>Planning Commission/City Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Development / Tentative Tract Map/ Conditional Use Permit Section 12.24.090(F)(4)</td>
<td>Community Development Director</td>
<td>City Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please include a check for $157.17 along with completed application & documentation. Tree Removal applications may be submitted in person at 25 Prado Road, x Elks Lane at the City’s Corporation Yard in SLO or mailed to:

Public Works Urban Forest Services
25 Prado Road
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401.

This tree removal application must be signed by the property owner or an Authorized Agent. I declare under penalty of perjury that all the facts stated within this tree removal application are true and correct.

Property Owner’s Signature: ____________________________ Date: ______________

Applicant’s Signature: _________________________________ Date: ______________

$157.17 Make check payable to the City of San Luis Obispo Check #______________
2021-23 Financial Plan Proposed Goals & Objectives

[Name of Committee]

Background

[Please provide background narrative for your goals]

Goals

1. Goal #1

[Please provide narrative detailing goal]

Please provide as many goals as seem reasonable considering that resources are limited and the City Council considered a consolidation of goals in 2020-21.
Advisory Bodies
2021-23 Financial Plan Goal
Setting Parameters
2019-21 Financial Plan

Guided by the Fiscal Health Response Plan—*the purpose of the Plan is to establish a framework to respond to the long-term fiscal impacts of the significant increases in required pension contributions to the CalPERS retirement system over three years.*
San Luis Obispo is committed to...

- Good Fiscal Management
- Public Engagement
- Quality Services
Considerations when setting goals:

- Changes in Economic Conditions
- Pending Diablo Closure
- Further CalPERS Adjustments
- Long-term Environmental & Fiscal Sustainability
- COVID19
- Diversity, Equity, Inclusion (DEI)
**General Fund Long Term Forecast**

**Assumptions**
- Phased reopening
- Schools return in Fall 2020
- Impact on most industries
- Recessionary trends
- "U" Shaped recovery

"How much of a guessing game is a wild guessing game right now as there is no historical precedent for the current crisis and little good data as of yet."

– Beacon Economics

*Based on unaudited actuals*
Where are we now?

**Fiscal Health Contingency Plan Activated** – Hiring, purchasing, and travel chills to mitigate revenue shortfalls.

**Healthy Reserve Levels** – The City has multiple reserves in preparation for future unknowns and cash flow preservation.

**2019-20 Results:** Realized savings from additional employee contributions to CalPERS and hiring new staff under the PEPRA retirement plan limiting the impact of revenue shortfall.

**Fiscal Health Response Plan:** in effect through 2020-21

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unfunded Liability Payments as part of FHRP</th>
<th>FY 18-19</th>
<th>FY 19-20</th>
<th>FY 20-21</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CalPERS Downpayments</td>
<td>$4.2 mil - Paid</td>
<td>$4.2 mil* - Unpaid – in Undesignated Fund Balance</td>
<td>$3.0 mil – payment to be determined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115 Pension Trust Fund</td>
<td>$1.4 mil – In Reserve</td>
<td>$2.0 – allocation to be determined</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*From 2018-19 Fund Balance*
City Council Adoption of ONE Unified City Goal (June 2, 2020): Economic Stability, Recovery, and Resiliency

July 2020
Council approved creation of a Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Task Force to provide guidance and a foundation for creating a potential 21-23 DE&I focused Major City Goal

Link to 20-21 Supplemental Budget with goal details (pg. 17)
Goal Setting Parameters

1. Consider uncertainty regarding the long-term impacts from COVID19

2. Consider increased pressure to fund infrastructure maintenance

3. Advancing Fiscal Health Response Plan objectives

4. New projects and services will require trade-offs with current core services (Revenue generating new services excluded.)

5. Goal setting through the lenses of Diversity, Equity, and Inclusivity
The proposed Financial Plan Calendar will be presented to Council on 10/20/20
QUESTIONS?

We are available to talk to you and your advisory body and respond to questions.

Natalie Harnett   Principal Budget Analyst   nharnett@slocity.org
Brigitte Elke    Finance Director           belke@slocity.org
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Advisory Bodies Consolidated Goals

Tourism Business Improvement District – Page 4

Goals: (All equal weighted)

1. Support Partnerships that enhance tourism
2. Safety and homelessness
3. Vitality of downtown & other business districts
4. Parking + Connectivity
5. Infrastructure + Beautification
6. Homestay Compliance

Planning Commission – Page 5

Goals: (Priority Ranked)

1. Land Use: Implementation of the Downtown Concept Plan and Revisit Special Focus Areas
2. Housing: Increase Affordable and Workforce Housing Supply
3. Sustainability: Reduce GHG Emissions by Reducing VMT and Improving Residential Energy Efficiency of Pre-1990 Housing Stock
4. Multi-Modal Circulation: Improve Walkability and Transit

Cultural Heritage Committee – Page 6

Goal:

1. Update the 36-year-old historic resource inventory and existing historic districts.

Architectural Review Commission – Page 7

Goal:

1. Update the Community Design Guidelines (CDG)

Promotional Coordinating Committee – Page 8

Goals: (All equal weighted)

1. Quality of Life + Public Art
2. Climate Action Plan: Housing+ Connectivity + Sustainability
3. Homelessness & Mental Health + Safety
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Advisory Bodies Consolidated Goals

5. Vitality of downtown & other business districts
6. Open Space

Administrative Review Board – Page 10

Goals:

1. Consistency in enforcement of existing municipal code by citing officers; consistent interpretation of existing municipal code by hearing officers when reviewing citations that are appealed; and raising fines for administrative citations.
2. Increase the cost to appeal to the Administrative Review Board and establish a fee to appeal to a Hearing Officer.
3. Enforce the requirement of individual rideshare operators (such as driver-contractors for Uber and Lyft) to have a business license issued by the City.
4. Expand education of homeowners regarding their obligation to have a business license if they are renting a room, Accessory Dwelling Unit or home, as a step towards stricter enforcement.

Active Transportation Committee – Page 12

Goals: (Priority Ranked)

Projects

1. Anholm Bikeway Plan: Design, Construct, and Maintain Lincoln to Ramona bikeway and lighting
2. Railroad Safety Trail: Design, Construct, and Maintain
3. Broad Street Crossing Improvement: Design, Construct, and Maintain
4. Bob Jones Trail: Design, Construct, and Maintain
5. Misc Bicycle Facility Improvements: $100k in annual funding for misc. projects.
6. Pacheco and Bishop Peak Safe Routes to School: Design, Construct, and Maintain
7. Penny Lane Bridge: Design, Construct, and Maintain
8. Prado Road Class I: Design, Construct, and Maintain
9. Sinsheimer Park Pathway: Design, Construct, and Maintain
10. Isla Bicycle Boulevard: Design, Construct, and Maintain
11. Broad Street Class I: Design, Construct, and Maintain

Programs

1. Traffic Safety Education: Increase annual funding
2. Ped/Bikeway Maintenance: Maintain annual $60k for maintenance.

Staffing

1. Active Transportation Manager; maintain position
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Advisory Bodies Consolidated Goals

Human Relations Commission – Page 15

Goals:

1. Housing
2. Homeless Prevention
3. Diversity, Equity, & Inclusive
Tourism Business Improvement District

Background

The Tourism Business Improvement District (TBID) board recommended the goals for consideration in the 2019-21 work program to improve the visitor experience in San Luis Obispo. The TBID board did not weigh its goals, keeping them all equally important.

Goals

1. Goal #1: Support Partnerships that enhance tourism
   - Fiscal sustainability in other methods aside from increase in TOT
   - Recognize tourism as an integral aspect of the City’s economic development efforts and support the tourism promotion activities of the TBID and PCC.
   - Increase funding for the Promotional Coordinating Committee in its efforts to promote cultural, recreational, and social events that bring and keep tourists in San Luis Obispo.
   - Support with city-wide special events (in the ways of not hindering city required permits, applications, etc.) and providing tools necessary for events to be compliant

2. Goal #2: Safety and homelessness
   - Continue the City’s efforts to address homeless population.
   - Enhance safety relative to transient populations throughout the City so residents and tourists can feel safe while enjoying activities in San Luis Obispo.

3. Goal #3: Vitality of downtown & other business districts
   - Free City wi-fi
   - encourage and support the growth of new business courting SLO and wanting to build in SLO
   - Creating some sort of policy or process for abandon buildings/storefronts specifically in downtown

4. Goal #4: Parking + Connectivity
   - feasible parking around downtown
   - Accessibility of public transportation (trolley)

5. Goal #5: Infrastructure + Beautification
   - Cleanliness of the city in general and specifically in tourist central areas/ hotel locations
   - Infrastructure improvements

6. Goal #6: Homestay Compliance
   - Continue the enforcement to gain compliance of properties with the City’s Homestay Ordinance with the goal for 100% compliance with Homestay policy within the City.
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Planning Commission

Background

The Planning Commission prioritized the following goals based on evaluation on the completion status for the 2017-19 Major City Goals and General Plan goals; community need, and input received over the past 2 years; special and urgent conditions that need to be addressed; and the availability of City resources to accomplish the identified goals and work programs within the financial plan timeframe.

Goals

1. Land Use: Implementation of the Downtown Concept Plan and Revisit Special Focus Areas

   Implement the Mission Plaza improvements as identified in the Downtown Concept Plan. Revisit the Special Focus Area development guidelines for the Foothill Boulevard/ Santa Rosa Area and Upper Monterey to establish detailed design guidelines that enhance neighborhood integrity and the diverse community character.

2. Housing: Increase Affordable and Workforce Housing Supply

   Emphasize affordable housing programs, encourage flexible use and non-traditional housing product types to facilitate development of workforce housing. Review existing regulations to identify cost and time saving measures to promote the development of accessory dwelling units, while maintaining the owner occupancy requirement to protect neighborhood compatibility and wellness.

3. Sustainability: Reduce GHG Emissions by Reducing VMT and Improving Residential Energy Efficiency of Pre-1990 Housing Stock

   Update and implement the Climate Action Plan with emphasis on: 1) encouraging project design that promotes accessible, convenient and safe pedestrian, bicycle and transit access that reduces project generated vehicle miles traveled by 20-40% below average; 2) developing standards that support infrastructure improvements for alternative transportation and electric vehicles; and 3) identify and implement financial incentives for improving energy efficiency in pre- 1990 housing stock.

4. Multi-Modal Circulation: Improve Walkability and Transit

   Implement the Multi-Modal objectives identified in the LUCE and the Downtown Concept Plan by encouraging in-fill development to include non-automobile alternatives. Conduct a walkable community survey in major neighborhoods to inform the development of the Active Transportation Plan, and to identify improvements that would encourage and support pedestrian activities for people with varying degrees of mobility. Implement the Short-Range Transit Plan to improve transit ridership by exploring operational improvements that could reduce run times to less than 20 minutes along routes that serve densely populated areas.
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Cultural Heritage Committee

Background

The California Office of Historic Preservation recommends updating historic resource inventories every five years. San Luis Obispo has not done a global revision in thirty-five years, making development decisions difficult and unpredictable. The CLG grant-funded *City of San Luis Obispo Citywide Historic Context Statement* of 2014 logically leads to a historic resource survey.

Goals

1. Update the 36 year-old historic resource inventory and existing historic districts.
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Architectural Review Commission (ARC)

Background

The Community Design Guidelines (CDG), adopted in June 2010, are the guiding principles for the ARC. The ARC’s purview, mission statement, and criteria for project approvals is all contained in the CDG. The ARC has requested an update of the CDG over several Financial Plan cycles and on October 15, 2018 decided to make it their sole recommended goal for the 2019-21 budget.

Goals

1. Goal – Update the Community Design Guidelines (CDG)

Due to the significant gap in the City’s projected budget, the ARC focused on one goal; updating its major policy document, the Community Design Guidelines. It is timely and important to update the CDG after the adoption of the comprehensive Zoning Regulations Update (September 2018). The Zoning Regulations Update includes a new development review process, primarily changing the focus and decision-making authority of the ARC. Under the new process, instead of final decisions, the ARC makes advisory recommendations to the Planning Commission or Community Development Director, and will conduct more focused, informal, and working session-type meetings. The ARC will be totally focused on design guidelines and will not have to weigh in on things outside their purview (State affordable housing law, environmental review, and parking reductions), which the old process required them to consider as a final decision maker for many types of projects. Updating the CDG will also be very important for consistency with the upcoming updates to the Subdivision and Sign Regulations.

If approved as a component of the 2019-21 Financial Plan, the project plan, scope of work, and budget for the CDG update will be prepared with the ARC. While a comprehensive look at the CDG is warranted, the document contains many effective and relevant guidelines to maintain. The ARC discussed working sessions and a subcommittee for the CDG update to do as much of the “hands on” work as possible, with numerous opportunities for public review and input. Of course, planning staff, particularly the ARC liaison would have a key role in this effort. While staff and the ARC can carry some of the effort, it was recognized that some consultant services would be needed to carry out the project. Based on recent similar efforts, a ballpark estimate would be $50,000 in consultant services, in conjunction with staff/ARC to produce a focused update of the CDG.
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Promotional Coordinating Committee

Background

Consistent with its assigned role, the PCC’s proposed goals concentrate on improvements and enhancements that would make the City of San Luis Obispo an even better place to reside and visit. They PCC used community responses from their recent strategic planning exercise to inform and develop the outlined goals. The PCC did not weigh the goals since the members felt that they were equally important.

Goals

Goal #1: Quality of Life + Public Art

- Enhance placemaking efforts by supporting Public Art and identify increased opportunities to support additional public art throughout the city. Encourage non-traditional, eclectic, and contemporary forms of public art to enhance arts tourism and serve as point of pride for residents. Ensure that public art is included in all development / projects in the city, both residential and business. Public art should be accessible to all members of the community.

Goal #2: Climate Action Plan: Housing+ Connectivity + Sustainability

- Support implementation of the City of SLO Climate Action Plan. Support housing density, multi-modal transit, increased connectivity, traffic mitigation efforts, and the Climate Action Plan with construction of affordable, green, and net-zero housing.
- Increase the efforts to make the city more pedestrian and bicycle friendly. Support enhanced bike infrastructure and the completion of the Bob Jones Trail as energy efficient examples to provide transportation for both residents and tourists in a small-scale city with excellent climate. Encourage buffered, green-striped bike lanes with new construction. Identify and research feasibility of bike share model connecting Downtown to Cal Poly, beaches, wine country, and Monterey hotels.
- Prioritize sustainability throughout the community for businesses, residents and events.

Goal #3: Homelessness & Mental Health + Safety

- Support the city in the addressing of the homeless/ transient / mental health issues that we are facing with our local population and residents.

Goal #4: Vitality of downtown & other business districts

- Support the continuing efforts of community-based organizations to keep the downtown viable. And also support other local business neighborhoods (which tend to be local business) i.e. MoJo, Laurel Lane, Crossroads, the airport district, etc.
Goal #5: Open Space

- Enhance awareness and availability of the natural spaces in and around town to residents and visitors.
- Continue to look for opportunities to expand the green belt around San Luis Obispo.
Administrative Review Board

Background

The Administrative Review Board serves as the final decision maker for the City on administrative appeal hearings relating to administrative citations issued for certain contested San Luis Obispo Municipal Code enforcement matters that require a more detailed administrative record.

Goals

1. **Consistency in enforcement of existing municipal code by citing officers; consistent interpretation of existing municipal code by hearing officers when reviewing citations that are appealed; and raising fines for administrative citations.**

   The goal of more consistent enforcement and adherence to the municipal code by citing officers and hearing officers would be to increase education of the public as to what the requirements of the municipal code are and to reinforce the City’s commitment to consistent enforcement and review when it comes to violations of the municipal code related to neighborhood wellness. With the ongoing, excellent efforts of Police Department, Office of Neighborhood Outreach, the suggestion is for citing officers and hearing officers to support that outreach through increased attention to consistency in enforcement and administrative appeals review.

   The ARB also suggests review of the level of administrative citation penalties.

2. **Increase the cost to appeal to the Administrative Review Board and establish a fee to appeal to a Hearing Officer.**

   Increasing the cost to appeal to the Administrative Review Board so the amount more closely covers the administrative cost of processing the appeal, with the goal of removing more of the financial burden on the City. In line with that increase, the ARB suggests requiring the fines for administrative citations appealed for Hearing Officer review be paid up front and held until the Hearing Officer issues a decision to prevent the filing of appeals solely for the purpose of delaying payment of penalties and resulting “no show” appellants and unnecessary burdens on volunteer Hearing Officer time. Review of an appeal by a Hearing Officer is currently free. If the appeal was granted, the fine would be returned to the appellant. Indigent appellants may submit a financial hardship waiver request through which an appellant could be heard by the Administrative Review Board or a Hearing Officer without having to pay a fee.

3. **Enforce the requirement of individual rideshare operators (such as driver-contractors for Uber and Lyft) to have a business license issued by the City.**

   It was confirmed with our Finance Department that other cities, such as San Francisco, have a process by which they receive a list of driver-contractors operating in their jurisdiction in order to enforce the cities’ requirement that each driver-contractor have a business license. The list is provided to the cities by the parent corporation and then utilized by the cities to enforce the code. The goal of this effort would be to increase safety of users by having, at minimum, a list of driver-
contractors operating in the City and making the driver-contractors aware that they are on such a list and subject to business license requirements.

4. **Expand education of homeowners regarding their obligation to have a business license if they are renting a room, Accessory Dwelling Unit or home, as a step towards stricter enforcement.**

   It is the belief of the Administrative Review Board that a majority of homeowners within the City are unaware that they are required to have a business license in order to rent rooms in their home, or to rent Accessory Dwelling Units (“ADUs”) or homes that they own. There have been recent educational efforts around ADUs, but the global applicability of the business license may not be well understood. Also, enhance education regarding the differences in requirements between long-term and homestay (short-term) rentals. The goal of greater education would be greater compliance, with the follow-up being increased citations for non-compliance.
DATE: October 2, 2018  
TO: Brigitte Elke, Director of Finance  
FROM: Adam Fukushima, Staff Liaison to the Active Transportation Committee  

SUBJECT: DRAFT FY 2019-21 Active Transportation Committee Recommended Goals

On September 20, 2018 the Active Transportation Committee recommended its FY 2019-21 draft goals for Council consideration which are represented in the following table. The projects are ranked in order of importance from most to least desired to fund.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommended Goal</th>
<th>Why Goal is Important</th>
<th>Candidate Funding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PROJECTS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anholm Bikeway Plan-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1) Design, construct, and maintain bikeway from Lincoln to Ramona</td>
<td>This project will provide a primary low traffic north/south through route for bicyclists serving the downtown core and neighborhoods north of Foothill Blvd; complements projects in the Bishop Peak and Pacheco Elementary School Safe Routes to School Plan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Install art and lighting to enhance the US 101 underpass</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Railroad Safety Trail-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design and construct:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1) Pepper St to the train station</td>
<td>This goal provides a huge safety enhancement for a large volume of bicyclists and pedestrians to the University, schools &amp; parks; implements General Plan goals to increase bicycling &amp; walking and supports Grand Jury recommendations to close gaps.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Jennifer St. bridge to Iris (connecting to French Hospital Trail)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broad Street Crossing Improvement-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design and construct HAWK beacon at Woodbridge Street</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bob Jones Trail-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1) Construct segment from Calle Joaquin to Oceanaire</td>
<td>The City-to-Sea trail continues to be a high priority for residents. Additionally, SLO County is moving forward with its section of the trail which will increase the desire for the crossing.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Design and construct bike path extension from LOVR to the Octagon Barn</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) Design grade separated crossing of LOVR at Highway 101</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Project Funding Sources:  
- State funds  
- Federal funds  
- General fund  
- City debt financing  
- Fundraising efforts  
- Measure G
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Misc. Bicycle Facility Improvements</strong>- Provide $100,000 in annual funding for misc. projects such as safe routes to school, signing &amp; striping projects ideally incorporated into other construction projects.</th>
<th>Completing these projects as part of other construction projects results in substantial cost savings.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pacheco and Bishop Peak Safe Routes to School</strong> Design and construct enhanced bicycle/pedestrian crossing of Foothill Blvd at Patricia and La Entrada</td>
<td>This project has been requested by neighborhood families that have difficulty crossing Foothill as they walk and bicycle their kids to school.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Penny Lane Bridge</strong> Design a bicycle/pedestrian bridge over the railroad tracks at Penny Lane</td>
<td>This bridge will provide a connection from downtown to the Johnson Avenue and Ella Street neighborhoods via the bicycle facilities recently constructed at French Hospital.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prado Road Class I</strong> Design and construct a Class I bikeway from the current terminus of Prado Road to Broad Street.</td>
<td>Constructing this bikeway connection ahead of development will provide an important east/west bikeway connection and alternative to Tank Farm Road during the Chevron remediation efforts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sinsheimer Park Pathway</strong> Construct a paved path through Sinsheimer Park from Helena Street to the Railroad Safety Trail</td>
<td>This project has been requested by residents from throughout the community and provides connectivity from the trail to the park.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Islay Bicycle Boulevard</strong> Design and construct the City’s third bicycle boulevard.</td>
<td>Bicycle boulevards are facilities that bicyclists of all abilities feel comfortable using and therefore increase ridership.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Broad Street Class I</strong> Design and construct a Class I bikeway from Damon Garcia sports fields to Rockview Place</td>
<td>In conjunction with the Prado Road Class I bikeway, this segment provides an alternative route to the busy Broad Street corridor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>The intent of this goal is to improve east-west connectivity of communities west of the US 101 to the east side of the freeway.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PROGRAMS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Traffic Safety Education:</strong></th>
<th>Educating the public on cycling</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Program Funding Sources:**
State and Federal grants
Transportation Development

---
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Increase annual funding for bicycle, motorist, and scooter education</th>
<th>awareness and safety reduces collisions. Goal supports Grand Jury goal of promoting safe cycling.</th>
<th>Act funds General fund Measure G</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ped/Bikeway Maintenance:</strong> Maintain $60,000 annually for bicycle and pedestrian facilities</td>
<td>Performing pavement maintenance and weed control on pedestrian and bicycle paths will increase the life of these facilities and reduce the risk of accidents.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>STAFFING</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Active Transportation Manager:</strong> Maintain position.</td>
<td>The Active Transportation Manager implements the Bicycle Transportation Plan policies and programs, prepares grant applications, and helps manage capital projects.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Staffing Funding Sources:</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General fund Measure G</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Human Relations Commission

Background

The Human Relations Commission (HRC) requests the City Council consider the following goals for the 2019-2021 Financial Plan:

Goals

1. Housing

*Continue to implement the Housing Element, facilitate affordable, supportive, and transitional housing options; promote creative land use and density opportunities, and modify City fees and processes to increase housing production.*

**Tasks and funding priorities should focus on:**

a. Explore ways to increase the affordable, alternative, and transitional housing inventory, through active support and involvement in the upcoming update of the City’s General Plan Housing Element for 2020-2028;

b. Increase both rental and ownership opportunities within the City’s housing stock;

c. Promote smaller, efficiency units and adjust development fees accordingly;

d. Continue to prioritize Community Development Block Grant (CDBG0) funding for production and rehabilitation of affordable housing; and

e. Promote and ensure fair housing practices and policies within the City.

2. Homeless Prevention

*Continue to support a long-term, proactive, sustainable program that addresses homelessness and focuses on transitioning children, families, and individuals out of homelessness into permanent housing.*

**Tasks and funding priorities should focus on:**

a. Increase the HRC Grant-in-Aid Budget and General Fund support to allow greater financial assistance to non-profits in the community who serve those less fortunate;

b. Continue efforts to prevent homelessness primarily with the most vulnerable populations;

c. Increase support and awareness for mental and physical health and wellness; and

d. Continue to work with the City’s Police and Community Together (PACT) team, the County’s Homeless Services Oversight Council (HSOC), and other local municipalities in implementing the San Luis Obispo Countywide 10-year Plan to End Chronic Homelessness.
3. **Diversity, Equity, & Inclusivity**

*Identify and implement policies and procedures to encourage greater social and economic diversity in the City.*

Tasks and funding priorities should focus on:

- a. Review and make changes to the HRC’s Bylaws and other Advisory Body Bylaws as necessary, to encourage diversity and inclusivity;
- b. Support, protect, and ensure the implementation of the City’s “welcoming city” designation;
- c. Explore partnerships with stakeholder groups, including but not limited to, the Five Cities Diversity Coalition, RACE Matters, SLO PACT, and various student groups to listen and learn about opportunities to increase diversity and inclusion;
- d. Increase commissioner community engagement and involvement to educate and inform City residents about the role of the HRC;
- e. Promote the city’s diversity and inclusion efforts through a collaboration with the City’s Promotional Coordinating Committee and internal marketing team.